Single Bench

(5)

100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4808 OF 2006

(C)

PETITIONER :

Dasru Ram Sahu, S/o Shri Fagnu Ram Sahu, Aged about 66 years, Panch, Ward No.19, Gram Panchayat Tarenga, Block/ Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, R/o village Tarenga, Tashil Bhatapara, District Raipur (CG).

4344/06 4808/06 P.R. No. Presented by Shri R.T. Vaishnav dated 31/8/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG).
2. Director (Panchayat) Raipur (CG).
3. Additional Collector, Baloda Bazar, District Raipur (CG).
4. Smt. Saroj Bai Aadil, W/o Manoharlal Adil, Aged about 53 years, Sarpanch, Gram Pranchayat Tarenga, Block Bhatapara, Tehsil Bhatapara, District Raipur (CG).
5. Gyanendra Agrawal, Upsarpanch, Gram Panchayat Tarenga, Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, District Raipur (CG).

RECEIVED-COPY 31/8/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(56)

XI-HC-22

(55)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4808 **सन्** 2006

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

04.09.2006

Shri B.D.Guru, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri N.K.Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State/ respondents No.1, 2 and 3.

Heard.

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to respondent No.1 to dispose of the pending revision petition under the provisions of Panchayat Raj Adhiniyam, 1993, which is said to have been filed by respondent No.5 against respondent No.4.

The brief facts are that a 'No Confidence Motion' was carried out against respondent No.4, which was challenged before the Collector and the Collector set aside the same. Being aggrieved with the said order, passed by the Collector, respondent No.5 filed a revision before the Director(Panchayat), which was subsequently transferred to the Secretary and the said revision is pending before the said authority.

The prayer is that the said authority be directed to dispose of the revision within a timeframe given by this Court.

In the opinion of this Court, such kind of petition would not be maintainable at the instance of the petitioner, who is neither the applicant nor the respondent before the concerned authority and his status is one of the stranger and in this manner, he is not having any *locus standi* to file this petition.

The petition filed by the petitioner is not maintainable and the same is dismissed at the motion stage itself.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

sunila

(पीछे देखिये)